Atty Dkt No. INMT 0102 PUSA

S/N: 10/597,758

Reply to Office Action of May 28, 2009

Remarks

In this second office action, the Examiner rejects claims 1-25 under either 35

U.S.C. §102(b) or 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Claims 35-36 have been withdrawn as being restricted out

as being drawn to a different invention. However, claims 26-34 are deemed to be allowed by the

Examiner.

Accordingly, the Applicants have canceled claims 1-25, and 35-36 in order to put

this application in condition for allowance.

Therefore, Applicant believes that all formal and substantive requirements for

patentability have been met and that this case is in condition for allowance, which action is

respectfully requested. If any additional issues need to be resolved, the Examiner is requested

to telephone the undersigned at her convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Dean James Patterson

By /John E. Nemazi/

John E. Nemazi

Reg. No. 30876

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: August 25, 2009

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor

Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400

Fax: 248-358-3351

-5-